

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/582,700	Applicant(s) EICHHOLZ ET AL.	
	Examiner Richard R. Green	Art Unit 3644	

All Participants:

(1) Richard R. Green.

(2) Thomas Burger.

Date of Interview: 17 February 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
1, 4, 5, 7, 16, 19, 20, 22

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 2:40 PM

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/R. R. G./
Examiner, Art Unit 3644

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested that the incorporation of the dependent claims 4 and 19 into respective independent claims 1 and 16, amended as proposed in the proposed after final amendment of 1/20/2010, would place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant indicated that an after-final amendment to the claims making this incorporation and repairing the dependencies of affected dependent claims would be submitted.